

	Casse 44 0008 cox 40 11 00559 STBAA	ccumeentt25	Fileed 029/2098/200088	Page 2 of 4
1	plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls			
2	requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint, and defendant's counsel has not yet			
3	determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter.			
4	Respectfully submitted,			
5	5		RIMAC & MARTIN, P.	C.
6	5			
7	DATED: February 29, 2008	By:	/s/ Anna M. Martin	
8	3		ANNA M. MARTIN Attorneys for Defendant	
9			UNUM LIFE INSURAN AMERICA	ICE COMPANY OF
10				
11	I			
12	2			
13	3			
14	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES			

I. **INTRODUCTION**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court should grant defendant's Ex Parte Application for an Order Extending Time to Respond to Complaint, to March 13, 2008, or later, for the following reasons: (1) Counsel for defendant has just received the complete claim file, and requires additional time to review the entire claim file to determine the appropriate response. (2) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the complaint, counsel for plaintiff has agreed to grant an extension of time to answer the complaint through March 13, 2008. However, plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint, and defendant's counsel has not yet determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Local Rule provides that "The Court may, in its discretion, grant an initial extension ex parte upon the affidavit of counsel that a stipulation extending time cannot reasonably be obtained, explaining the reasons why such a stipulation cannot be obtained and the reasons why the extension is necessary. Except for one such initial extension, ex parte applications for extension of time will not ordinarily be granted."

1. Good Cause Exists For Granting This Motion

Counsel for defendant has requested that plaintiff stipulate to extending time for a response to the complaint, but plaintiff's counsel has only granted an extension of time to answer the complaint, and plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's requests that the extension of time be to respond to the complaint. (Decl. Counsel, ¶ 2-7.)

Good cause exists for granting an extension of time to respond to the complaint because counsel for defendant has just received the entire claim file, and has thus not had the opportunity to review the claim file, and determine an appropriate response to the complaint. (Decl. Counsel, ¶ 8.) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

25

28

to review the claim file, and determine an appropriate response to the complaint. (Decl. Counsel, ¶ 8.) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the complaint, counsel for plaintiff has agreed to grant an extension of time to answer the complaint through March 13, 2008. However, plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint,

and defendant's counsel has not yet determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter. (Decl. Counsel, ¶¶ 2-8.)

Thus, defendant respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline to respond to the complaint to March 13, 2008 or later.

Respectfully submitted,

RIMAC & MARTIN, P.C.

DATED: February 29, 2008 By: /s/ Anna M. Martin

ANNA M. MARTIN
Attorneys for Defendant
UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF

UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

26 27